

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/670,099

Applicant(s)

VOLK ET AL.

Examiner

MIRANDA LE

Art Unit

2169

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MIRANDA LE, Examiner(3) JOHN CHEN, Applicant's Representative(2) JAMES J DE CARLO, Reg. 36.120

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 March 2009

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3-5,7-17 and 20-37

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed a proposed amendments. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 1, 3-5, 9, 13-14, 20-24, 26-30, 32, 33, 37, and amend claims 7, 12, 17, 25, 31 further as detailed in the enclosed examiner's amendments in order to clarify the invention of the current application and put the case in better condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Miranda Le/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2169